



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/019,647	01/03/2002	Tomohiro Nomizo	111491	6174	
7590 01/20/2004			EXAM	INER	
Oliff & Berridge			LANE, JOHN A		
P O Box 19928 Alexandria, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2188	5	
			DATE MAILED: 01/20/200	ر 4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4		ple		
		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/01	9,647	NOMIZO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Exam	iner	Art Unit			
		Jack A		2188			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ess		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN msions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In ramunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a ply will, by statute. cause the	e statutory minimum of the order of will expire SIX (6) More application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>03 January :</u>	<u>2002</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
,—	ion Papers		·				
9)□	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.			•		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ard	e: a)⊡ accepted o	r b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
,	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner	. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-	·152.		
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
* (3)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included. T CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first see the attached acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first see the attached acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first see the attached acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first see the attached acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first see the attached acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first see the attached acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first see the attached acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first see the attached acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim effect of the acknowledgment is made of a claim	y documents have y documents have s of the priority docional Bureau (PCT ion for a list of the of for domestic prioritied in the first sente anguage provisional for domestic priorities.	been received. been received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies no y under 35 U.S. ence of the special application has y under 35 U.S.	Application No en received in this National State of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap- ication or in an Application Da- been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a second	oplication) ata Sheet. specific		
Attachmer			,,⊏,,,,	. C.,			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-15			

Art Unit: 2188

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-13 are presented for examination.
- 2. The examiner requests, in response to this Office action, any reference(s) known to qualify as prior art under 35 U.S.C. sections 102 or 103 with respect to the instant claims. That is, any prior art similar to the instant claimed invention that could reasonably be used in a 102/103 rejection. This request does not require applicant to perform a search. This request is not intended to interfere with or go beyond that required under 37 C.F.R. 1.56 or 1.105. This request may be fulfilled simply by asking the attorney(s) of record handling prosecution and the inventor(s)/assignee for references qualifying as prior art. A simple statement that the query has been made and no prior art found is sufficient to fulfill the request. Otherwise, along with a 102/103 submission a discussion of why the reference(s) qualifies as prior art with respect to the instant claims is requested. A response to this inquiry is greatly appreciated.

The examiner also requests, in response to this Office action, support be shown for language added to the claims on amendment. That is, indicate support for newly added claim language by specifically pointing to page(s) and line no(s). in the specification and/or drawing figure(s). This will assist the examiner in prosecuting the application.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/019,647

Art Unit: 2188

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kazunori (JP 03-038687) or the admitted prior art, each taken separately, in view of Morimoto et al. (EP 0793166) or Ichiro (JP 10-021068), each taken separately.

Kazunori teaches the claimed "projector" as projector 1 shown in figure 3. The claimed "internal storage device" corresponds to memory within module 3. The "memory controller" corresponds to circuitry including element 2. The claimed "mobile memory" corresponds to IC memory card 7. However, Kazunori does not discuss a control program in memory card 7 to be used in place of a control program within the projector module.

Art Unit: 2188

The admitted prior art discussed on pages 5 and 6 teaches a conventional projector module 30 shown in figure 2 and a general purpose computer module 20. The circuitry appears to be prior art based on applicant's disclosure. However, the admitted prior art does not discuss a control program in pc card 41 to be used in place of a control program within module 20.

Morimoto teaches the claimed "mobile memory" as external storage device 3. The claimed "second control system stored in the mobile memory" corresponds to a program stored in external storage 3 (fig. 3). The program stored in flash memory 41 (claimed "internal storage device") is replaced with the program stored in storage 3 if the program version is higher in external storage 3. The version upgrade/change capability provides for the best performance of the overall system. Likewise, Ichiro teaches a program in RAM 7 to be updated by a new program in memory card 9 (fig. 2).

Because updating an existing program with a new program stored in a memory card enables upgrades in processing performance, it would have been obvious to use the program version upgrade schemes of Morimoto or Ichiro, each taken separately, in the projection system of Kazunori or the admitted prior art, each taken separately. Therefore, the claimed invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Official notice is taken of the prior art teaching any claim feature not specifically discussed above. That is, any prior art (including that of record) teaching the more well known claim features commonly found in the dependent claims. The claim features,

Art Unit: 2188

while part of the invention, appear to be well known and their relevance not essential to the main invention found in the independent claim(s). Thus, a detailed discussion of the well known claim features is not warranted at this time. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the primary references with the officially taken prior art given the state of the art at the time the well known claim features were invented.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for Official communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 872-9306, (for Non-Official or draft communications, please label "Non-Official" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack A. Lane whose telephone number is 703 305-3818. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 7:30AM-6:00PM.

Art Unit: 2188

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 703 306-2903.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-3900.

JACK A. LANE PRIMARY EXAMINED